

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/914,077 | 08/23/2001 | Satoshi Kawamura | 0152-0577P | 8442 |
| 2292 | 7590 12/17/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH | | | LEWIS, MONICA | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 111220 0110 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | 2822 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | Applicati n No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/914,077 | KAWAMURA ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | |
| | Monica Lewis | 2822 MW | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cov r sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se | eptember 2003. | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) □ Claim(s) 1-6,9-19 and 28-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-6, 9-19 and 28-31 are subject to reserved. | vn from consideration. | ent. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange replacement or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. | epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sent | s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification of the visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific | |
| Attachment(s) | 🗖 - | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152) | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This restriction is in response to the amendment filed September 11, 2003.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment I (Claims 1-6, 28 and 29), directed to a conductor constituting a coil having a plurality of adjacent conductive layers; and Embodiment II (Claims 9-19, 30 and 31), directed to an IC element formed

integrally with an antenna coil as composite for performing data communication.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2822

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 703-272-1838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722 for regular and after final

Application/Control Number: 09/914,077

Art Unit: 2822

communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ML

December 11, 2003

AND ROBOTON

AND ROBOTON

Page 4